

[Please note that Appendix I of the Rule 6 letter gives confusing information, stating that my unique Interested Party reference no. should begin with either 2002 or SGSP. My ref. no. begins with 2005. I have been unable to get through to the Inspectorate on the telephone to clarify this point.]

I have 3 points regarding the Examination Procedure for submission:

1. In my bitter experience, Microsoft Teams is designed for office networks, with significant power/memory backup available. I have never been able successfully to use Microsoft Teams with my domestic laptop. I am unable to attend the meeting in Ashford on 19 November, and suspect that I shall have difficulty linking up with it via Teams. I ask that the Inspector takes the needs of ordinary members of the public like myself into account while conducting the Examination.
2. I would ask that, in the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues, greater importance (i.e. that it be considered as a separate sub-topic) be given to the impact on the setting of and the amenity of users of the dense Public Rights of Way network that is affected by this application.
3. Under Principal Issue 6. Landscape and Visual Impact, I ask that cumulative effect be considered not only in the context of this application, but also in the context of the existing small solar site and the EDF application, which has now gone to Appeal.